Homework #3

(due Monday, Feb 25th)

(1) A snail species has two different morphs, left-coiled and right-coiled. A researcher does a large experiment measuring the escape behavior of snails when confronted with a predator. She classifies each as 'run' or 'hide' (pull into shell). 50% of the snail population exhibit the run behavior. Among runners, 25% are left-coiled. Among hiders, 60% are left-coiled. Calculate the probability that a snail runs given that it is right-coiled.

(2) Let's revisit the analysis of data on the number of substitutions on two branches of a genealogy, where the two branches have the same time to the most recent common ancestor. We'll denote the data on counts of the number of changes is y_1 and y_2 . Previously JKK worked through the case of using a Poisson distribution with an expectation of ut as a model for these data. He only used the number of sites with differences.

Now imagine that we know the number of sites that we have sequence from. Call that total M. Since mutations are rare M is typically much larger than $y_1 + y_2$. If we imagine that mutations are rare independent events that happen with the same rate at every site, then we can view the data on y_1 and y_2 as given M sites as samples from the binomial distribution. Let p be the probability of a change at one site on a single branch.

- (a) What is the likelihood equation for p given y_1 , y_2 , and M trials?
- (c) What is the formula for the MLE, \hat{p} ?
- (c) What is the MLE of p if $y_1 = 2$, $y_2 = 3$, and M = 2000?
- (d) What is the log-likelihood at that point?
- (e) Can you reject a null hypothesis that p = 0.001? (show the LRT test statistic and df)

(3) If you knew exactly where in the sequence of 2000 sites the 5 changes occurred, then you could calculate the probability without the binomial coefficient instead of the one you used in #2b. If you used a likelihood equation without the binomial coefficient, you would get a (Circle one answer for each part):

(a) LOWER / EQU	JAL / HIGHER	likelihood
(b) LOWER / EQU	JAL / HIGHER	log-likelihood
(c) LOWER / EQU	JAL / HIGHER	estimate of \hat{p}
(d) LOWER / EQU	JAL / HIGHER	LRT for the H_0 that $p = 0.001$

(compared to the values of these entities when you use a likelihood equation with the binomial coefficient).